

This list of questions contains a comment that may be more appropriately expressed in the board meeting. Therefore, I will assume the questions will become part of the public record. Yes, they are distributed to all directors and legal counsel and become part of record.

p. 9: Why decreasing in “Surrounding Counties”; relevance to us? Relevant as a measure of potential spread. Movement of people tends to be in counties where they live and work. In our area it is not uncommon for people to live in one county and work in another (for example). Plus, the actual trigger here will be a call based on input from Health Officials and what the threat is to employees. The language has enough flexibility so that data from neighboring counties is just one of several facts for the GM to consider. It does not handcuff us to a certain course of action.

p. 12: typo at 3.4 Noted

p. 13: 2nd non-indented bullet: Why 1 test, not 2? The combination of medical clearance and a COVID-19 test was deemed sufficient. But this is a good catch and worth cleaning up. It differs from the steps in the ECP found in Section 7, page 11.

p. 20: Face coverings per CDC . . . Seems to me that the guidelines are not based completely on considerations of efficacy, but incorporate considerations of maintaining availability of PPE for other classes of workers. Should our face covering standards be more rigorous than this? No, while we are able to get masks currently, it remains difficult to obtain N95 masks. We should not set the standard higher than recommended or what we are able to obtain in the marketplace.

This approach captures the current status of masks, but the status will change once N95s become available from distributors. They are still prioritizing medical and first responders. We are the next rung down on the priority list as essential service workers.

pp. 20, 24: Percentage of alcohol differs. Why? Recommendations are based on types of alcohol

The CDC recommends *hand sanitizer* be at least 60% alcohol (ethyl)

The CDC recommends *cleaning wipes* to be at least 70% alcohol (isopropyl).

p. 25: Sick Employees & Separate Sick Employees: Why “acute,” given that logic suggests that the probability of a person being COVID + is significantly higher if that person exhibits mild respiratory illness than if that person does not exhibit respiratory illness?

Perhaps, but not all respiratory illness is COVID related. Our internal thinking on this topic is that we have staff with both chronic asthma and bronchitis that frequently display respiratory symptoms. But they do not have COVID.

The consultant was not aware of our staff conditions. An Employee could fail our morning screenings with less than “acute” respiratory symptoms. Our screening asks about muscle pain, chills sore throat. The response matrix says we would send them home for up to

14 days and get a medical clearance. To make these two consistent we might either change the morning screening questions to focus on acute symptoms or change the matrix.

- p. 26: typo, 3rd empty bullet
“shall” preferable to “should” **Correction noted**
- p. 27: What does this mean: “Investigations for exposed personnel with confirmed COVID-19”? It needs to be restated. I think it means “Investigations for personnel exposed to confirmed COVID-19 carriers . . .” or something like that.
Have we engaged a contact tracer? Health Dept. may be too busy to trace on a timely basis.

No, we have not engaged a contact tracer. We would make every effort internally to question staff and see if they had been in contact with an infected individual. As stated in the policy we would refer to CDC for guidance to conduct risk assessment. Also, if the County was overwhelmed, we would know about it and could contract at that time. Alternately, Mark Dias could get trained.

- p. 28: Isolation Why not “medical professional” rather than “official” in the 1st paragraph?

Medical professional and Medical official in this context are the same. However, suggested correction noted.

DKF’s product is an important document for CAWD. It should be placed under action items and be reviewed by the board before acceptance since it will constitute policy. At a minimum, DKF should clean up their typos. **We can do that. However, please note that it has not been our practice to bring every health and safety policy before the Board for approval (i.e. Bloodborn Pathogens, Business Response, Fire Prevention, Confined Space Entry, Heat Illness, etc.). The COVID policy was brought forward because the Board specifically requested it. If the Board would like all these policies brought forward then we just need to know that change.**

- p. 35: Stylistic, Makes sense to put “ °F ” or “Fahrenheit” in parentheses in 8a rather than repeat continuously. **Correction noted**
- p. 36: So, we can tour the plant by ourselves if we maintain proper distance from staff and each other? **Yes, you may tour the plant. Not by yourself however, for safety reasons outside of COVID we ask that all visitors be accompanied.**
- p. 53: Is distributed in the district sufficient? Are we required to use the Pine Cone, or can we shop around? **Per Health & Safety Code §5473.1 “...in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published within the entity if there is one and if not then in such paper printed and published in the county within which the greater part of such district is located”**

We certainly can “shop” around. However, the Pine Cone is the paper of general circulation for our service area and the use of multiple publications will cost the District more. Additionally it seems more and more people depend on electronic media, not traditional newspapers.

p. 98: Did CCTV inspections resume in June? Are we proceeding rapidly enough with inspections to meet the requirements of our settlement agreement?

Yes, we have resumed but on a very limited basis due to staff on vacation, and playing catch up on confined space entries at pump stations, 5 entries in month of June, cleaned the city storm drain interceptors, and cleaned all easements that requires full staff due to location and difficulty of set up. We are looking at a little over 1000 feet for the month of June.

No, we are not proceeding rapidly enough to meet requirement of our settlement agreement. However, the issue has been discussed at Salary/Benefit level and staff was given instruction to return with additional data. We are in the process of doing that now”

p. 129: Statewide water use reportedly +20%. Are we seeing increase in inflows? **Not so much.**

Total flows for 2017-18	444.88MG
2018-19	487.68MG
2019-20	444.99MG for eleven mos.

p. 132: Microturbine operating as of 6/22?
Is there now a reconditioning schedule for electric motors?

65kW microturbine was started and ran with new control system on 6/19/20. Discovered remote start signal not working as intended so Cal Microturbine has to come back onsite to change program with Capstone software. Unit can only be run during the day shift until remote start signal changed.

Yes, 5 year rotating scheduled PM created. Motors will be tested and reconditioned every 5 years with staggered schedule so only one motor is sent out per year. This year 2 motors will be sent out. 933 will be back this week and 931 will be sent out this winter.

p. 142: “roll” **Correction noted**

p. 147: The drone appears to be a discretionary toy absent any rigorous justification for its need. I would like to see a justification irrespective of whether or not it has been purchased. Justification to include comparison against other technologies. **It has not yet been purchased.** Although it falls within superintendent level purchasing authority we can

bring it before the board prior to purchase if desired. We have utilized Barry Blevin's drone over the past two years for several projects but Mr. Blevins has informed us that he no longer wishes to do that and would prefer the District use its own equipment.

In addition to drone photos on web site and newsletter we have used it for Rio Road Bridge project. And we anticipate using it to document sea level rise at Carmel Lagoon over the next decade. We think the visuals might be a valuable tool to present to the Coastal Commission as part of our reporting requirements.

p. 148: What is the plan for CalPERS UAL contributions? The budget reductions we achieved did not require money to come out of pension contributions, but did require the money to come out of other parts of the padding historically built in to the operating budget.

I am unable to support this budget without receiving a commitment to make some significant contribution to keep up with our liabilities.

During Budget Committee discussions I thought I was clear that I had eliminated the contribution to CalPERS UAL in order to lower the rates. It was originally in the O&M budget and was part of the rate model. As discussed at the time I removed it from the O&M budget, essentially moving it "off budget". (Although removed from the budget, any contribution would still be reported in the financials.) However, I also indicated that I supported a contribution to the UAL and that it should come directly from District Reserves as an unbudgeted expense. (Note: it is listed in the rate model on Form 2 O&M Costs and Debt Service at the bottom of the worksheet)

Since we currently earn less than 1.5% on our reserves and CalPERS is charging 7.0% on the UAL it makes sense to paydown. I believe the appropriate next step would be to bring the question of an additional contribution to CalPERS back to the Pension Committee for discussion and then to the full board for authorization. This would include a discussion of how any district contribution might influence the negotiations for increase employee contributions.

You do not make any dollar amount requirement in your comments? During Budget Committee I brought up the figure of \$200K and continue to support that amount or a higher amount.

p. 162 What does "\$634.10 + \$200" mean?

Basic premium + flat contribution to HSA or premium shortfall

p. 178 Will HEPA filter housings increased in area to account for greater flow impedance?

HEPA filters would be incorporated in new Fan Filter Units (FFU) that provide an additional "boost" to overcome the added impedance of the HEPA filter. The entire building air changes

per hour will be evaluated as well and modifications would be made as necessary to provide minimum recommended air exchanges.